Award No. 56 Docket No. 65

PARTIES: Brotherhood of Maintenance of Way Employes

TO: DISPUTE: Chicago and North Western Transportation Company

STATEMENT OF CLAIM: "Claim of the System Committee of the Brother-hood that:

- (1) The fifteen (15) day suspension assessed Machine Operator S. E. Blum for allegedly being absent without proper authority on June 22, 1984, was without just and sufficient cause, capricious and excessive. (Organization File 4D-4690; Carrier File . 81-84-225-D).
- (2) Machine Operator S. E. Blum shall be allowed the remedy prescribed in Rule 19(d)."

FINDINGS:

This Board, upon the whole record and all the evidence, finds and holds that the employes and the Carrier involved, are respectively employes and Carrier within the meaning of the Bailway Labor Act as amended, and that the Board has jurisdiction over the dispute herein.

The claimant herein is the same as involved in Award No. 55. The occurrence herein actually arose out of the same incident as involved in Award No. 55. On June 29, 1984, claimant was instructed to appear for a hearing scheduled for July 3, 1984, on the charge:

"Your responsibility in connection with being absent from duty without proper authority on June 22, 1984."

The hearing was postponed and conducted on July 9, 1984. A transcript of the hearing has been made a part of the record.

In the hearing it was established that, without securing authority or notifying any supervisory officer, claimant, on June 22, 1984, left work about 2:30 P.M., or 1-1/2 hours prior to the conclusion of his assignment.

No employe is permitted to decide for himself when he will leave his assignment. Carrier's Rule 14 of General Regulations and Safety Rules provides:

Award No: 56 Docket No: 65 Page 2.

"Employes must report for duty at the designated time and place. They must be alert, attentive and devote themselves excluisively to the Company's service while on duty. They must not absent themselves from duty, exchange duties with or substitute others in their place, without proper authority."

Claimant was clearly in violation of the rule. The discipline imposed was not arbitrary, capricious or in bad faith. The claim will be denied.

AWARD

Claim denied.

Chairman, Neutral Member

Carrier Member

DATED: Oct 3, 1985

Labor Member