#### SPECIAL BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT NO. 986

Case No. 66
Docket No. NEC-BMWE-SD-1867

PARTIES: Brotherhood of Maintenance of Way Employes

TO:

DISPUTE: National Railroad Passenger Corporation (Amtrak)

## FINDINGS:

Claimant K. Bingham is employed as an EWE operator by Carrier.

On June 16, 1987, Claimant was directed to attended investigations of the following charges:

Violation of NRPC (Amtrak) 2525 (9/85) Rules of Conduct, Rule "O" which reads in part: "Rule O . . . Employees must not be absent from their assigned duty or engage in other than Amtrak business while on duty or on Amtrak property without permission from their supervisor . . " in that

#### Specification #1:

On June 15, 1987 at the T.L.S. Camp located on Spring Road on the Atlantic City Line, Winslow, New Jersey you were instructed by Track Supervisor, Mr. M. MacAdams at 6:15 a.m. to report at 7:30 a.m. to the TLS Office car to be transported to and from Philadelphia, PA, in order to attend your scheduled physical. You failed to appear at the designated time and place and left Amtrak property without permission.

Violation of NRPC (Amtrak) 2525 (9/85) Rules of Conduct, Rule "L" which reads in part: "Rule L . . . Employees must obey instructions, directions, and orders from Amtrak supervisory personnel and officers except when confronted by a clear and immediate danger to themselves, property or the public . . ." in that

### Specification #2:

On Monday, June 15, 1987 at the T.L.S. Camp located on Spring Road, Atlantic City Line, Winslow, New Jersey you failed to comply with instructions issued to you by Track Supervisor, Mr. Michael MacAdams at 6:15 a.m. in connection with taking a physical as outlined in the Northeast Corridor "Special Instructions" Rule No. 100R-Al Employee Physical Examinations which was scheduled for you at 10:00 a.m. on Monday, June 15th, 1987.

The hearing was held on June 29, 1987; as a result, Claimant received a thirty-day combined suspension. The Organization thereafter filed a claim on Claimant's behalf, challenging the suspension.

This Board has reviewed the evidence and testimony in this case, and we find that there is sufficient evidence in the record to support the finding that the Claimant was guilty of the offenses with which he was charged.

Once this Board has determined that there is sufficient evidence in the record to support the guilty finding, we next turn our attention to the type of discipline imposed. This Board will not set aside a carrier's imposition of discipline unless we find the action of the carrier to have been unreasonable, arbitrary, or capricious. In this-case, given the nature of the offense and the disciplinary record of the Claimant, we cannot find that the 30-day suspension was unreasonable. Therefore, the claim will be denied.

# Award:

Date: 8-18-88