SPECIAL BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT NO. 605

PARTIES Brotherhood Railroad Signalmen TO and

DISPUTE Baltimore and Ohio Railroad Company

QUESTION

AT ISSUE:

- (a) Did Carrier violate and does it continue to violate the February 7, 1965 Mediation Agreement when Mr. E. W. Lantz, Signal Maintainer, was not recalled to compensated service on the Cumberland Division by March 1, 1965?
- (b) Should Mr. Lantz now be recalled to service on his seniority district?
- (c) Should Mr. Lantz now be compensated for any loss in wages, travel time, meal and lodging expenses for each day commencing March 1, 1965, that he is obligated to work on another seniority district? Should such allowances be made so long as he continues to work on another seniority district due to Management's failure to recall him to service on his own seniority district by March 1, 1965?

OPINION

OF BOARD:

The issue to be resolved in this dispute is identical to that in Award No. 54 (Case No. SG-7-E), and the Board is governed accordingly.

AWARD

Parts (a) and (b) of the Issue are not in dispute; part (c) is resolved in the negative.

Nicholas H.

Neutral Member

Dated: Washington, D. C. April 23, 1969