AWARD NO. 84
Case No. MW-25-W

SPECIAL BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT NO. 605

PARTIES ) Chicago and North Western Railway Company
TO THE ) and
DISPUTE ) Brotherhood of Maintenance of Way Employees

QUESTION AT ISSUE: Does the attached implementing agreement proposed by the carrier fully comply with the provisions of Article III of the Agreement, and if not, in what respect should it be changed before transferring employees on the basis of these provisions?

OPINION OF BOARD: Since neither of the two protected employees to be transferred from Subdivision No. 3 to Subdivision No. 4 has 15 years of seniority, it is unnecessary for the Implementing Agreement to contain a provision concerning retention of seniority rights on Subdivision No. 3.

In other respects the facts are analogous to those in MW-20-W.

A W A R D

The Implementing Agreement proposed by Carrier should be changed before transferring employees in accordance with the Opinion herein and Award No. 79 (Case No. MW-20-W).

Milton Friedman, Referee

Dated: Washington, D. C.
June 9, 1969